1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA					
2	CASE NO. 10-80069-CR-ZLOCH					
3	CASE NO. 10 00009 CK ZHOCH					
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Fort Lauderdale, Florida					
5	Plaintiff, September 15, 2010					
6	VS.					
7	GILBERTO JORDAN,					
8	Defendant. Pages 1 - 53					
9						
10						
11	SENTENCING					
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. ZLOCH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE					
13	APPEARANCES:					
14						
15	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: HILLARY DAVIDSON, ESQUIRE					
16	US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Human Rights and Special Prosecutions					
17	Section 10th and Constitution Avenue NW					
18	Suite 200 Washington, DC 20530					
19	MADIE WILLAGANA GOOGLEGE					
20	MARIE VILLAFANA, ESQUIRE ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY					
21	500 E. Broward Boulevard, Seventh Floor Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301					
22						
23						
24						
25						

r	ı						
1 2	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	ASSIST	CINDY ROSEN-EVANS, ESQUIRE ANT FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER stralian Avenue, Suite 500				
				ch, Florid			
3							
4		BRENDA BRYN, ESQUIRE ASSISTANT FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER					
5	150 West Flagler Street Miami, Florida 33130						
6		TILGINIL Y	110110				
7							
8	REPORTED BY:		NESTOR,				
9	Official Court Reporter 299 E. Broward Boulevard, Room 203G						
10	Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 (954) 769-5496						
11		ranniy_	Tammy_Nestor@flsd.uscourts.gov				
12	I N D E X						
13							
14	WITNESS: JON LONGO	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS		
15	By Ms. Davidson	8		18			
16	By Ms. Rosen-Evans		14				
17							
18	WITNESS: RAMIRO CRYSTAILIS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS		
19	By Ms. Davidson	24					
20	by Ms. Davidson	24					
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

```
THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated.
 1
 2
              Calling case No. 10-80069-Criminal.
 3
              Counsel, would you note your appearances for the
 4
    record.
 5
              MS. DAVIDSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Hillary
 6
    Davidson from the Human Rights and Special Prosecution Section
 7
     of the Department of Justice for the government.
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
 8
 9
              MS. VILLAFANA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Marie
10
     Villafana for the United States. And with us also is Special
11
     Agent Jon Longo from ICE.
12
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
13
              SPECIAL AGENT LONGO: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
14
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Robin
15
    Rosen-Evans on behalf of Mr. Jordan. Also seated at counsel
16
     table is Brenda Bryn. We are both attorneys with the Federal
17
    Public Defender's Office.
18
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
19
              Let the record reflect that Gilberto Jordan is present
20
     and in the courtroom. Can I have the representative from the
21
    probation office note his appearance.
22
              THE PROBATION OFFICER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
23
    Michael Santucci from the U.S. Probation Office from West Palm
24
     Beach.
25
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
```

```
1
              Can I have the court interpreter note her appearance.
              THE COURT INTERPRETER: Good afternoon, Joelle Haskey.
 2
 3
              THE COURT: Good afternoon. We are here for
 4
     sentencing. Ms. Evans, have you read in its entirety the
 5
     revised presentence report and the addendum to it?
 6
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Yes, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT INTERPRETER: Excuse me, Your Honor?
 8
              THE COURT: Have you discussed though papers fully
 9
    with your client?
10
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: Are there any objections or any motions
12
     from the defense to anything contained in the advised PSR?
1.3
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No, Your Honor, only the defendant's
14
     response to the government's request for --
15
              THE COURT: I have that.
16
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Thank you, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: Mr. Jordan, have you had read to you in
18
     its entirety in Spanish the revised resentence report and the
19
     addendum to it?
20
              THE COURT INTERPRETER: I didn't hear, I'm sorry.
21
              THE COURT: Have you had read to you in its entirety
22
     in Spanish the revised presentence report?
23
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
24
              THE COURT: And the addendum to it?
25
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

THE COURT: And have you discussed those papers fully 1 2 with your lawyer? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you have any objections or any motions 4 5 to anything contained in the revised PSR? 6 THE DEFENDANT: 7 THE COURT: And the government has filed its objection to the presentence report and its motion for an upward 8 departure. Are there any additional objections or motions from 9 10 the government? 11 MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the 13 government on its objection, and then we'll take up the motion. 14 Use the podium, please. MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, probation's recommendation 15 16 for the reduction for Mr. Jordan's acceptance of responsibility 17 appears to be based on the letter he submitted after his plea 18 in which he stated he was merely following orders and that he 19 was threatened with physical harm if did he not participate in 20 the massacre. 21 But, Your Honor, not in the pre-arrest interview, not 22 in the post-arrest debriefing, and not in the factual 23 allocation before Your Honor at the plea hearing in July did he 24 claim that he was merely following orders or that he would --25 that he was threatened with physical harm if he did not

participate in the massacre. 1 2 Defendant's counsel suggests that these are explanations. The government sees no distinction between his 3 4 explanations and justification for the conduct. 5 Under section 3E1.1 of United States sentencing 6 guidelines, in order to be eligible for the acceptance of 7 responsibility, downward departure, a reduction, the defendant must admit to all relevant conduct. The government submits 8 that he has not done so here with these 11th hour 9 10 justifications. His claims are also belied by the facts in this case. 11 After the massacre, Mr. Jordan did not leave the kaibils. 12 13 Instead he went back to the kaibil school, enrolled in the 14 course to become a full-fledged kaibil. Neither did he leave the military. He stayed in the military until he left 15 16 Guatemala to come to the United States and entered illegally 17 here. 18 Your Honor, we have Special Agent Longo who will offer 19 testimony to support our objection to the reduction for the 20 acceptance of responsibility. 21 THE COURT: Let me just -- I'm just curious about one 2.2. thing. Even if the Court were to agree with the government on 23 the acceptance of responsibility, the guideline range does not change, correct?

MS. DAVIDSON: That's correct. Of course, Your Honor,

24

25

```
we have made the motion for the upward departure.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: I understand that. But I mean, do you
 3
     want to spend time on the acceptance of responsibility since
 4
     it's not going affect the guideline range even if you are
 5
     successful?
 6
              In other words, the only thing that will change will
 7
    be the total offense level which will go from 6 to 8.
     criminal history category will remain the same. I think the
 8
     fine range changes. The guideline range will still be 0 to 6
 9
    months with supervised release. That term remains the same,
10
11
     correct?
12
              THE PROBATION OFFICER: That's correct, Your Honor,
13
     two to three years.
14
              THE COURT: And the probation would remain the same?
15
              THE PROBATION OFFICER: That's correct, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: So I just wanted to mention that to you.
17
     I mean, I'm sure you thought about it. It's your decision,
18
     however you would like to proceed, or do you want to spend time
19
     on your motion for the upward departure?
20
              MS. DAVIDSON: We would like to spend time on our
21
    motion for the upward departure and variance, Your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. With respect to your motion for
23
     upward departure, is that something that you would want to
24
     present testimony or is that legal argument?
25
              MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor. We have -- we would
```

```
like to present testimony in support of our motion and we would
 1
 2
     like to reserve our legal argument after the testimony.
              THE COURT: All right. Fine. Call your first
 3
 4
     witness.
 5
              MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: Who is your first witness?
 7
              MS. DAVIDSON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. This is ICE
 8
     Special Agent Jon Longo.
 9
              THE COURT: Please remain standing and raise your
10
     right hand.
11
                                JON LONGO
12
     Was called as a witness and after being duly sworn on oath was
13
     examined and testified as follows:
14
              THE COURT: Please be seated.
15
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
16
              THE COURT: Please speak directly into that microphone
17
     so we will -- so that we will be able to hear you.
18
              Please state your full name for the record and spell
19
     your last name for the reporter.
20
              THE WITNESS: It's Jon Longo, J-O-N L-O-N-G-O.
21
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
22
              You may proceed.
23
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
     BY MS. DAVIDSON
          Good afternoon, Special Agent Longo.
25
```

```
Good afternoon.
 1
 2
          You are the case agent on this case, United States versus
 3
     Jordan?
 4
         Yes.
          And in your role as case agent, did you become familiar
 5
 6
     with the facts as related to the massacre at Dos Eres?
 7
    A
          Yes, I did.
 8
          And in your role as case agent, did you become familiar
     with the facts as related to Mr. Jordan's naturalization as a
 9
10
    United States citizen?
11
         Yes, I did.
     Α
          And in your role as case agent, did you become familiar
12
1.3
     with Mr. Jordan's military history in Guatemala?
14
          Yes.
15
              MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, may I approach?
16
    BY MS. DAVIDSON
17
          Special Agent Longo, I am showing you what has been marked
18
     as Government's Exhibits 1 through 9. You may take those.
19
              THE COURT: Has the defense seen these exhibits?
20
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Any objection to them?
22
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: May I have one moment, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Yes.
24
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No objection.
25
              THE COURT: Government's Exhibit No. 1 through and
```

```
including 9 are in evidence.
 1
 2
              MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you.
     BY MS. DAVIDSON
 3
 4
          Can you briefly tell us what Exhibits 1 through 9 are?
 5
          Yes, Government's Exhibits 1 through 6 are photographs
 6
     that were taken regarding the exhumation at Las Dos Erres.
 7
          And then Exhibits 8, 9?
          Exhibit 7 is the copy of a cover of a magazine regarding
 8
     Dos Erres that was published in August of 1994, and Exhibits 8
 9
     and 9 are reports regarding the exhumation there at Dos Erres.
10
          Okay. I would like to just briefly ask you a few
11
12
     questions about the chronology just so that we are a little bit
13
     oriented.
14
          Can you tell me when the massacre at Dos Erres occurred?
          In December of 1982.
15
     Α
          And you referred to an exhumation. When did the
16
17
     exhumation of the well at Dos Erres take place?
18
          In 1994 and 1995.
19
          And when did Mr. Jordan apply to naturalize as a United
20
     States citizen?
21
          In 1996.
    Α
2.2.
          And when did he have his naturalization interview?
          The interview was in 1999 and he was also sworn as a
23
24
     naturalized U.S. citizen also in 1999.
25
          Now, during the course of this case, did you interview
```

```
Mr. Jordan?
 1
 2
          Yes, I did.
          And can you tell me on what occasions you interviewed him?
 3
          I interviewed Mr. Jordan on three separate occasions, all
 4
 5
     of which occurred in May of this year. Once at his home in
 6
     Delray Beach, Florida, and then two other times, once at the
 7
     United States Attorney's Office in West Palm Beach, and the
     final time was at the Public Defender's Office in West Palm
 8
 9
    Beach.
10
          And when you interviewed Mr. Jordan at his home, what, if
     anything, did he say about whether he had served in the
11
12
    military in Guatemala?
13
          He admitted that he was in the military. He explained his
14
    military career which spanned approximately 12 years. And he
15
    mentioned that he was, in fact, at Las Dos Erres. He admitted
     to participated in the killing at Dos Erres and he admitted to
16
17
     throwing a baby into the well as Dos Erres. I'm sorry, and he
18
     also admitted to leading people to the well essentially to
     their deaths at the well.
19
20
          When you interviewed Mr. Jordan at his home, what, if
21
     anything, did he say about being threatened with physical harm
22
     if he didn't participate?
23
          He didn't say anything about specifically being
24
     threatened. He did mention that at one point when he had the
25
     infant in his arms and he was carrying it to the well that he
```

- was crying. He stated that a friend of his Sergeant Rosales 1 2 saw him and told Mr. Jordan that he better keep his composure and that the other kaibils could kill him. 3 You mentioned two additional interviews with Mr. Jordan at 4 5 the U.S. Attorney's Office and also at the Public Defender's 6 Office. At either one of these interviews, did Mr. Jordan 7 repeat this encounter, this story, about this encounter with sergeant Rosales? 8 9 He did. He mentioned that Sergeant Rosales was his friend 10 and spoke of that incident again. When he was probed further about being specifically threatened, he denied it. 11 12 What was Mr. Jordan's military history after the massacre? 13 When the master occurred, as Mr. Jordan explained it, he 14 wasn't a kaibil at that time. He was part of an attachment is 15 what he called it. So when the massacre ended, he went back to 16 the kaibil school, enrolled into the school, and then became a 17 full-fledged kaibil following the massacre. 18 And when did Mr. Jordan leave the military in Guatemala? 19 Approximately two and a half years later. According to 20 him, it was 1985. According to some of the records that we 21 received from Guatemala, it was 1986. 22 And I would like to direct your attention again to 23 Government's Exhibit 7.
  - A Yes, ma'am.

25 Q You testified earlier that the exhumation was in July of

```
1994?
 1
 2
          Correct.
          Can you describe more particularly what this exhibit is?
 3
 4
          This is the copy of magazine cover that was published, as
 5
     I said earlier, in August of 1994, and on the cover is a
 6
    picture that was found at the exhumation site at Dos Erres.
 7
          And this was published where, do you know?
          In Guatemala.
 8
 9
          One more question. The interview at Mr. Jordan's home,
10
     what, if anything, did he say about whether he was just
11
     following orders?
12
          That was never said.
13
          Thank you, Special Agent Longo.
          You're welcome.
14
15
              THE COURT: Before there's any cross-examination,
16
     Agent, did Mr. Jordan say anything else to you about his
17
     involvement in the massacre?
18
              THE WITNESS: What he said, Your Honor, was that he
19
     was present, that he threw a baby in the well, and that he led
20
     people to the well where other kaibils killed them.
21
              THE COURT: Cross-examination.
22
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: One last question, I'm sorry. I apologize
24
     for interrupting you.
25
              But, agent, how many individuals were murdered at Dos
```

```
Erres?
 1
 2
              THE WITNESS: I want to say approximately 260, sir.
              THE COURT: In the single incident?
 3
 4
              THE WITNESS: Correct. The massacre occurred over
 5
     approximately two days.
 6
              THE COURT: Cross-examination.
 7
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MS. ROSEN-EVANS
 9
10
          Agent Longo, you indicated that well at Dos Erres, the
11
     exhumation occurred in 1995, is that correct?
12
          In 1994 and 1995.
13
          Okay. And charges in Guatemala were eventually filed,
14
    were they not?
15
    Α
          They were.
16
        And what year was that?
17
         I believe it was 1996.
18
         And by 1996 Mr. Jordan was already in the United States,
    was he not?
19
20
          Correct.
21
          Okay. In fact, he had come to the United States probably
2.2.
    more than a decade earlier, correct?
23
    Α
          Yes, ma'am.
24
          So at the time that he left Guatemala, there were no
25
     charges pending against him that you know of?
```

```
Not that I know of.
 1
 2
          And, in fact, the discovery of the bodies at Dos Erres had
 3
    not yet occurred, correct?
 4
          I don't know.
 5
          You don't know. Okay.
 6
          Now, you indicate that you interviewed my client on three
 7
    separate occasions, is that correct?
 8
          Yes, ma'am.
          First interview occurred at his residence on May 14, is
 9
10
    that correct?
11
    Α
          I believe it was May 4th, if I'm not mistaken.
12
          May 4th. Okay. May 4th, is that correct?
1.3
          I believe so, yes.
    Α
        And you did a report on that interview, did you not?
14
        Yes, ma'am.
15
    Α
16
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Your Honor, may I approach the
17
    witness?
18
    BY MS. ROSEN-EVANS
19
          I would like to show you what's been marked at this point
20
     just for identification as Defendant's Exhibit 1. Can you tell
21
    me, do you recognize that report?
22
    Α
          Yes, ma'am.
23
    Q
          And how do you recognize it?
24
          I wrote it.
25
          Okay. I want to direct your attention to Bates Nos. 234
```

```
to 235. Can you please look those two pages over.
 2
          I'm all set, ma'am.
 3
          Okay. Do you remember Mr. Jordan making the following
 4
     statement to you:
 5
          Jordan stated that, from what he knew, the operation,
 6
    referring to Dos Erres, was to be done without anyone knowing.
 7
     Do you remember him stating that?
 8
          I do.
          Do you remember him also stating, he said he did not know
 9
10
     who in particular gave the orders, but that it came from the
    ministry of defense?
11
12
          I do.
13
          Okay. Further quoting from that same statement, do you
     remember him stating, Jordan stated he did not want to
14
15
     participate in the killing of the villagers? Do you remember
16
    him stating that?
17
          I do.
18
          Do you remember him further stating he described Sergeant
     Rosales telling him not to cry and that if he did not kill the
19
20
     infant, that the other kaibils would kill him? Do you remember
21
     that?
22
    Α
          Yes.
23
          Okay. Now, showing you what's been marked for this
24
     purposes as Defendant's Exhibit 2, can you please tell me
25
     whether you recognize that document?
```

```
1
          I do.
          And how do you recognize it?
 2
 3
     Α
          I wrote it.
 4
          Okay. Can you please familiarize yourself with page --
 5
     starting at page 6 through 8?
 6
          Okay, ma'am.
 7
          Now, this was the interview that occurred both at the U.S.
 8
     Attorney's Office and then at my office, is that correct?
          Yes, ma'am.
 9
10
          Do you remember Mr. Jordan making the following statement?
          Jordan stated that he did not know what was going to
11
12
    happen at Dos Erres. Do you remember him stating that?
13
          Yes.
          Do you remember him stating, he said Ramirez gave orders
14
15
     to start killing the villagers, but he, Ramirez, did not
16
     explain why?
17
          Yes.
18
          Do you remember him stating that all officers including
19
     Barontes were giving orders to bring people to the well and to
20
     kill them quickly?
21
     Α
          Yes.
22
          Do you remember him further stating that if he had not
23
    participated in the killings, he would not be here today?
24
     Α
          Yes.
          Okay. Now, further on in the report, do you remember him
25
```

```
stating, no kaibils protested at Dos Erres; although, he,
 1
 2
     Jordan, wanted to? Do you remember that?
          Yes, ma'am.
 3
 4
          Do you further remember him stating that he never saw a
 5
     kaibil protest orders during any operation?
 6
          Yes.
 7
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank
 8
     you.
 9
              THE COURT: Redirect.
              MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I would just like to show
10
11
     the witness one paragraph of one of the exhibits as a follow-up
12
     to a question that you asked.
1.3
              THE COURT: Go right ahead.
14
              MS. DAVIDSON: May I approach?
15
              THE COURT: Yes.
16
              MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you.
17
                           REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18
    BY MS. DAVIDSON
19
          Special Agent Longo, I'm going to ask you to look at
20
     Exhibit 9.
21
    Α
          Okay.
22
              THE COURT: Government's 9?
23
              MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, Your Honor.
24
    BY MS. DAVIDSON
25
          It actually has a number on the right-hand corner,
```

```
M04322-1-0028. It's page 23 of the document.
 1
 2
          Okay.
 3
          I would like you to look at the paragraph that begins as a
 4
    result. It's at the bottom of the page. Can you please read
 5
     for the court that paragraph.
 6
          Sure. As a result of this preliminary work carried out by
 7
    members of FAMDEGUA and EAAF, a list of all the people who were
    assassinated was drawn up. The total number of victims
 8
 9
     documented by name until now is at the very least 222 people.
10
     The real total number, however, is probably higher due to the
11
     great number of children whose names were not known by the
12
    witnesses.
13
          Thank you. And just for the record, what is EAAF?
          That is the exhumation team.
14
15
         And FAMDEGUA?
    0
16
          Nongovernmental organization that was a voice for the
17
     victims at Dos Erres.
18
              MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you, Special Agent Longo.
19
              THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
20
              THE COURT: Any recross?
21
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
22
              THE COURT: Thank you, Agent. You may step down.
23
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24
              MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, we would like to call our
25
     next witness.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
 2
              MS. DAVIDSON: The government calls Mr. Ramiro
 3
     Cristailes.
 4
              THE COURT: Please step up to the witness stand.
 5
              THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT: Please remain standing and raise your
 7
     right hand.
 8
                            RAMIRO CRISTAILES
     Was called as a witness and after being duly sworn on oath was
 9
10
     examined and testified as follows:
11
              THE COURT: Please be seated. Please speak directly
12
     into the microphone so that we will be able to hear you.
1.3
              Please state your full legal name for the record and
14
     spell your last name for the reporter.
15
              THE WITNESS: Yes, my name is Ramiro Antonio Consario
16
     Cristailes.
17
              THE COURT: Would you spell your last name.
18
              THE WITNESS: C R I T -- sorry.
19
              THE COURT: That's all right.
20
              THE WITNESS: C-R-I-S-T-A-I-L-E-S.
21
              THE COURT: Thank you very much.
22
              You may proceed.
23
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
24
     BY MS. DAVIDSON
25
          Good afternoon, Mr. Cristailes.
```

```
Good afternoon.
 1
 2
        Mr. Cristailes, where were you born?
 3
              THE COURT: Counsel, before you begin, let me -- the
 4
     court interpreter needs to take a short break. We will be in
 5
     recess for five minutes. Let's have everyone back in here at a
 6
     quarter of.
 7
              Just keep the defendant here, Marshal. The Court's in
 8
     recess.
             THE COURT INTERPRETER: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
10
             (Thereupon, a recess was taken at 5:40 p.m.)
11
             THE COURT: Let the record reflect that the government
12
     is present, Ms. Evans is present, Gilberto Jordan is present,
13
     and in the courtroom the probation officer is present and the
14
     court interpreter is present.
             All right. Counsel, you may proceed.
15
16
             MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you Your Honor.
    BY MS. DAVIDSON
17
         Mr. Cristailes, where were you born?
18
    Q
19
         I was born in Las Cruces, Peten.
    A
20
    0
         And in what country is that?
21
         Guatemala.
    A
22
   Did there come a point where your family moved from Las
23
   Cruces?
24
    A
         Yes.
         And where did they move to?
```

To Dos Erres. 2 When you lived in Dos Erres, who did you live with? 3 I lived with my father and my mother and six brothers A 4 and -- I mean, five brothers and one sister. 5 Q And where were you in the birth order? 6 A I was the four number of the family, the young one, the youngest of the four. 7 8 0 You were the fourth child? 9 Yes. 10 And who was the youngest? 11 My little sister. II A And was there another child in between you? 12 13 Yes. II A Do you know what your father and mother did for a living 14 in Dos Erres? 15 16 A They are farmers. Mr. Cristailes, I would like to direct your attention to 17 18 December 1982. Approximately how old were you in December 1982? 19 20 A I was five years old. Can you tell me what happened in December 1982? 21 22 Yes. On that night of December, a few people get to the house and start knocking the door. And then my father get up 23 24 and he ask who was knocking the door. And they said never mind, just open the door or we break the door down. 25

```
And then my father opened the door. As soon as he opened
 1
 2
     the door, they grab him and start beating him up.
 3
         I'm sorry. Mr. Cristailes, you said there were people at
     Q
4
     the door. Can you tell me approximately how many people?
5
    A
         There were like six.
6
    Q
         And how were they dressed, do you remember?
7
         Like normal clothes.
    A
8
         So you said that they knocked on the door, and this was at
    0
9
    night?
10
    A
         Yes.
         And they beat up your father. What happened next? What
11
    Q
12
     do you remember?
13
         They even beat up my mother and my oldest brother and they
     march us to the school and the church. My father and me and my
14
15
    oldest brother, they took to us the school. And my mother and
16
    my youngest brother and sister, we went to the church.
         And then do you remember what happened when you were in
17
18
     the church with your mother and some of your siblings?
19
    A
         Yes.
        Can you tell me what happened?
20
    0
         Well, we started at the church. We started, you know,
21
     A
22
    praying because they was saying us, if you believe in God, just
23
    pray it because nobody will save you.
24
     0
         Who was saying that?
25
         The guys who was there in the church. (And then we can,
```

```
you know, hear the next morning start torturing, killing even,
2
     mens and womans. They take the mens and they start, you know,
3
     torturing, killing them. And you can hear them because was
4
     close. And also they took the young girls and started raping
5
     and.
6
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Object, Your Honor. I would object
7
     to the narrative. I think there would have to be specific
8
     questions and they need to be tied to Mr. Jordan.
9
             THE COURT: Ask your next question.
10
     BY MS. DAVIDSON
11
         You describe some of the things that you have seen. Can
     0
12
     you tell me or you have described what was happening. Can you
    tell me how you knew this was happening?
13
14
        Because the church was built by wood, so you can see
15
     inside.
16
             THE COURT: Just move back a little bit. That's good.
17
             THE WITNESS: When was my mom's turn, they grabbed my
18
     mom from his hair and I -- me and my brothers --
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Again, Your Honor, I would have to
19
20
     object, unless the government can tie this to Mr. Jordan, I)
21
     would object on the grounds of relevancy.
22
             THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection at this point.
23
    BY MS. DAVIDSON
24
     0
         You may continue, Mr. Cristailes.
25
     A
         They grabbing my mom and grabbed my mom from his leg, and
```

```
one man grabbed me from his mom and he told me, don't go with
2
     your mom because you will get killed. So he put me inside the
3
     church, and I ran to see what they will do to my mom, so --
4
     0
         What did you see?
        I see when a man took my little daughter from my mom's
5
6
     arms and grabbed his legs and smash him to the tree and threw
    him into the well.
7
8
         You said daughter, did you mean --
    0
9
         My sister.
    A
10
         Your sister. How old was your sister at this time?
         Like nine months.
11
    A
12
         And then after someone smashed your sister against a tree
    and threw her into the well, then what happened?
13
         Then my mom was underneath, was begging for his life. And
14
    A
    they don't care. They just cut his throat and throw it into
15
16
    the well.
        They cut whose throat?
17
    Q
18
        Yes, my mom's, my brother's.
    A
         And what happened to you?
19
    0
20
         I was watching and I couldn't watch no more. (I fall)
     asleep until next morning, so everything was done. The
21
22
     massacre was finished.
23
         And then they --
24
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Objection, Your Honor. (I would ask)
     that specific questions be asked of the witness.
25
```

```
THE COURT: Ask your next question.
 1
2
     BY MS. DAVIDSON
3
         Mr. Cristailes, after the massacre was done, what happened
4
    next? What do you remember?
5
         I remember when we was four kids and we went to the jungle
6
    for few days and they called to the helicopter to went to pick
7
    us up.
8
         Let me ask another question because I'm not sure that I
    understand. You described four kids.
9
10
         Yes, three and myself would be four.
11
         Who did you go into the jungle with?
    Q
12
   II A
         With the people who do the massacre.
13
         The people who did the massacre?
    Q
14
    A
         Yes.
15
         And then after you were in the jungle with them, then what
    0
16
    happened?
         They called the helicopter, and then the helicopter went
17
    A
18
    to pick up and take us to the base.
19
         What base did the helicopter take you and these other
    0
20
    children to?
21
         Army base.
    A
22
   And how long were you at the Army base for?
23
    A
         It was like two months.
24
    0
         And after these two months, where did you go next?
25
         Mr. Santos, he took me to his home.
```

```
Who is Mr. Santos?
 1
     Q
2
        He was a Kaibil.
3
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Your Honor, I would object in terms
4
     of relevancy. This is conduct outside anything that my client
5
     was involved in. This is conduct where one of the kaibils took
6
     this young, this person, and kept him for probably 15 years or
     so in his home. My client had absolutely nothing to do with
7
8
     that and I feel it's irrelevant and highly prejudicial.
             MS. DAVIDSON: I'm done, Your Honor.
 9
10
             THE COURT: All right.
    BY MS. DAVIDSON
11
12
        One last question. (Where -- are you still living in)
13
    Guatemala?
14
    A
         No.
        And why is that?
15
   16
    A
        Well, because I'm scared.
         One final question about the massacre, what you remember.
17
    Q
18
    You mentioned what happened to your mother and your sister. Do
    you know what happened to your father and your other siblings?
19
20
    A
         Yes.
21
        Can you tell me what happened?
    Q
22
    A
         When we get up to the church, I see my father and my older
23
   brothers hanging from the tree.
24
    Q
         How about your other siblings?
25
     A
         They was in the well.
```

```
Did you see them being killed?
2
    A
         Yes.
3
         How about everyone else in the village, did you -- what
4
    happened to them?
         They was killed too. There was bodies all over.
5
6
             MS. DAVIDSON: May I approach, Your Honor?
7
    BY MS. DAVIDSON
8
         Mr. Cristailes, I've handed you what's been marked as
    Government's Exhibit 10. Do you recognize that photograph?
9
10
    A
         Yes.
11
         And can you please tell the Court what it is?
    Q
12
         That's me dressed in a boy scout.
    A
         And approximately how old were you in that photograph?
1.3
    Q
14
        Like eight, nine years old.
             MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, the government moves to
15
     admit Government's Exhibit 10.
16
17
             THE COURT: Any objection?
18
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No, Your Honor.
             THE COURT: Government's 10 is in evidence.
19
20
             (Thereupon, Government's Exhibit No. 10 was received)
21
     in evidence.)
22
             MS. DAVIDSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Cristailes.
23
             THE WITNESS: Thank you.
24
             THE COURT: Cross-examination.
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I have no questions for this
25
```

```
witness, Your Honor.
2
             THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down. Watch your
3
    step please.
4
             Call your next witness.
             MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, we have no further
5
6
    witnesses.
7
             THE COURT: The government rests.
8
             MS. DAVIDSON: No, Your Honor, the government has
 9
     legal argument -- well, legal argument.
             THE COURT: Do you rest with your --
10
11
             MS. DAVIDSON: Yes, we do.
             THE COURT: Any testimony or evidence on behalf of the
12
13
     defense?
14
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No, Your Honor, just argument.
15
             THE COURT: Let me hear argument from the government,
16
     then I'll hear from the defense.
17
             MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, this may be the worst
18
    naturalization fraud case to ever come before you.
19
              Congress provided for a ten-year statutory maximum for
20
     the crime of naturalization fraud. Clearly congress envisioned
21
     a case where the lies involved matters so serious that they
2.2.
     warranted a ten-year sentence.
23
             And this case involving a defendant who participated
24
     in a massacre of at least 162 unarmed and innocent men, women,
25
     and children, a massacre that wiped an entire village off the
```

2.2.

face of this earth and a massacre that started when the defendant threw a baby into the well is that case that cries out for the ten-year statutory maximum.

This case is so atypical, so far outside the heartland, that a 0 to 6 month sentence is wholly insufficient. That is why the government has requested an upward departure under section 5K2.0 of the United States sentencing guidelines.

Because the atrocities about which Mr. Jordan lied constitute that aggravating circumstance of a kind to a degree that the sentencing commission did not take into account in formulating the guideline range.

Counsel for defendant has argued that the circumstances of this case are not atypical, that it does not lie outside the heartland. The government certainly hopes that that's not the case and that there aren't, in fact, hundreds and hundreds of naturalized United States citizens walking around who also lied about their participation in massacre of innocent people.

Your Honor, this is not the paradigmatic
naturalization fraud case involving, for example, a lie about a
shoplifting crime. An 11th Circuit law allows this Court to
take into account prior acts of misconduct that relate
meaningfully to the offense of conviction, and there is no
question here that the massacre which Mr. Jordan participated
is inextricably intertwined with the lies that he told during

the naturalization process in order to hide those crimes.

The government, because the government is using the murders as a basis for its request for the upward departure under 5K2.0 of the sentencing guidelines, the offense level would be 43, which is life, but because the statutory maximum is ten years, the government would then look to an offense level of 32.

If Your Honor credits the acceptance of responsibility and it is, of course, the defendant's burden to bear on that, on the acceptance of responsibility, then it would go down to level 30, approximately eight years.

But, Your Honor, the government submits that this case is so atypical that once you do the analysis under the guidelines, we would ask that you exercise your discretion and impose a sentence — impose an upward variance.

As the 11th Circuit stated in the United States versus Irey, decisions to vary may attract greatest respect when the sentencing judge finds a particular case outside the heartland to which the commission intends individual guidelines to apply.

We ask that you impose this variance after considering the factors that are listed in Title 18 United States Code Section 3553(a). Some of those factors include the nature and circumstances of the offense, the need for the sentence imposed to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense.

2.2.

In this case, Mr. Jordan admitted to killing a baby. He then participated in the killing of countless other men, women, and children. He has made an 11th hour claim that he was forced to do this. However, there's never been any testimony that his superiors — that he claimed his superiors would kill him if he did not participate in the massacre. And, in fact, after the massacre, he went back to the kaibil school to become a full-fledged kaibil.

A few years after he participated in this massacre, he flouted United States law by slipping into this country illegally, and then a few years after that, he lied during the naturalization process and hid his murderous past.

By his fraud he prevented United States officials from doing their jobs to insure that the individual before them was entitled to United States citizenship.

Your Honor, naturalization is something to which many aspire. Many work hard and spend years in order to become United States citizens. Frankly, it would be an insult to these hard working people who will obtain their citizenship honestly and played by the rules for Mr. Jordan who was never entitled to citizenship in the first place to be given a slap on the wrist.

It is because the nature and circumstances of this offense are so serious, because of the need for this sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, the need for it to

promote respect for the law and to provide just punishment that the government is requesting a sentence of years and not months.

In terms of some of the other factors listed in section 3553(a) such as personal history and characteristics of the defendant, Your Honor should and must take into account what he did in Guatemala.

Defendant's counsel argues that because he has committed no crime of violence in this country, that you shouldn't consider what he did in Guatemala. That simply is not true, Your Honor.

Finally, section 3553(a) requires that this Court impose a sentence that provides adequate deterrence. Counsel for defendant has argued that Mr. Jordan's lies were unto themselves that allowed him to live in this country peacefully for many years. But that's precisely the point, because he never should have been allowed to live here peacefully for many years.

This country has been a haven for people who fled crimes of violence. The United States has a strong interest in ensuring that this country does not become a haven, a home, for those who committed those acts of violence.

A long sentence will send the message that those who commit human rights violations in other countries cannot come to the United States and make their home here and live here

peacefully for years. They do -- if they come here, if they 1 2 lie about their crimes of violence, they will be punished 3 severely. 4 Finally, Your Honor, I would like to just address an 5 argument the defendant's counsel made in her papers which is 6 that the offenses were not so serious here because it's not as 7 if Mr. Jordan lied to obtain his citizenship in order to commit acts of terrorism. But, Your Honor, section 1425 of Title 18 8 United States Code provides a higher statutory maximum for 9 those who obtain their naturalization fraudulently in order to 10 commit acts of terrorism, 25 years versus the statutory maximum 11 of 10 for those who obtain their naturalization fraudulently 12 13 and all other cases where terrorism and drugs are not involved. 14 That, Your Honor, is the sentence that we are 15 requesting here today. We believe that this sentence is 16 sufficient but not greater than necessary and reflects -- and 17 is reasonable. Thank you, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 19 Ms. Rosen-Evans. 20 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Thank you, Your Honor. 21 First, Your Honor, before I begin my argument, I would 2.2. like to point out two factual statements which the prosecutor 23 just made to the Court which there has been no evidence to support during its case. 24 25 Number one, that my client slipped into this country

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

illegally, and number two, that he, in fact, started the massacre. There's been no evidence to support those assertions by the government, and I would ask the Court to disregard those statements as being unfounded. In terms of, I would just like to briefly address the issue of the acceptance in that although the government said he would not go forward, it keeps coming back to what they call --THE COURT: Just a moment. Go ahead. MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Thank you. -- my client's 11th hour claim that he was following orders and that he had been threatened. I think it's quite clear from the testimony of Agent Longo that this is far from an 11th hour claim. When my client first made contact with Agent Longo at his house, he told Agent Longo that he had been ordered to participate in the Dos Erres massacre, that he did not want to, that he was told that if he didn't, that he would be killed. He said that the first time he met Agent Longo. He said that the next time he met Agent Longo which was at a meeting at the United States Attorney's Office and at a meeting at his lawyer's office. So this is not an 11th hour claim in any way, shape, or form. Now, getting to the government's arguments, Your

Honor, I'll take the departure arguments under 5K2 first, Your

1 Honor, and then I will move to the issue of a variance under 2 3553.

The government seeks an upward departure pursuant to 5K2 of the sentencing guidelines citing a number of different reasons.

Number one, they claim that the circumstances of Mr. Jordan's case are atypical or outside the heartland. And I would argue to the Court that Mr. Jordan's case, the conduct for which this Court is sentencing Mr. Jordan which is the lie, the lie, the concealment on his naturalization application is not atypical. Mr. Jordan lied on his citizenship application about past behavior. The fact that the lie was to conceal his involvement in what occurred at Dos Erres does not take the case outside the heartland. It is the act of concealment which is the crime, the act of concealment is the crime that this Court must sentence him for. It is not the crime that occurred in Dos Erres.

THE COURT: Are you saying that I cannot consider what took place during the massacre?

MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I think that you have to be very careful in how you consider it, Your Honor.

We know that there are a number of factors under 3553 that you need to consider and you have to balance those factors. I think that you can consider the circumstances to a certain degree.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Well, I mean, what I'm trying to find out,
what I would like for the defense to clarify for the record is
you agree that your client participated in the massacre?
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: He has admitted that, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: And you agree that he threw a baby that
was alive down a well?
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: He has admitted he threw the baby
down the well and that he also brought people to the well.
        THE COURT: All right. So now that -- and that has
not been objected to by the defense in the presentence report.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: That is correct, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: And so that takes me to my last question a
few questions ago. Can I consider what your client did with
respect to sentence here today?
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I think you can consider it, but it
cannot be the sum total of your -- what consumes your decision
which is, I think, the error in the government's argument to
you.
         I think you can consider what the -- what was being
concealed, but that is not what you can sentence him for. You
can't sentence him for what occurred at Dos Erres.
        THE COURT: I understand that.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: You have to sentence him for the act
of concealment. And my argument is that --
        THE COURT: But it is conduct that the Court can
```

consider. 1 2 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: But it's not relevant conduct. think even the government concedes and as correctly pointed out 3 4 in the presentence report, it is not relevant conduct. 5 THE COURT: But it's the conduct about which he lied. 6 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: That's true. But I think the 7 question becomes how you balance that with all of the other factors and what weight you give it. And that's where the 8 government falls into its trap. They want you to use just 9 10 that, just what happened at Dos Erres that is the sum total of their argument. But it can't be because I would argue that you 11 12 have to be very cautious in how you use that information. 1.3 THE COURT: What weight do I give to the fact that he 14 killed a baby? 15 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I think you can use -- you can look at that in terms of his history and personal character -- his 16 17 personal history and characteristics. But I don't think it's 18 relevant conduct to the offense. I don't think it's offense 19 conduct. The offense is the concealment, the lie. 20 THE COURT: But it is the murder that he concealed, 21 that he lied about. 22 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: That's true. I mean, there's no 23 getting away from that. And that's why this case is a 24 difficult one. I admit it is difficult. But it's cases like 25 this where it is so important to look at the case law and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
caveat that not one factor should control, when you improperly
balance these factors, that's when error occurs. You can't
say, okay, he killed this baby, ten years. I mean, that's
basically what the government is asking you to do.
         THE COURT: Well, it's not like he lied about stealing
candy from a grocery store.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No, but if you look at the end
result, Your Honor, it would be the same. Someone -- the crime
is someone who shouldn't be here.
        THE COURT: So what's the worth of a baby?
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I'm not saying that. What I'm
saying is the conduct, the end result, is that someone who for
whatever reason shouldn't be here.
         THE COURT: If I can consider the fact that he
murdered a baby, he was involved in other murders as well, but
I am just talking about the baby, if I can consider the fact
that he murdered a baby, and then lied about that, what is the
value of the life of a baby?
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Then I think you also have to
consider that he was in the military, he was ordered to do it
and he felt threatened, that his life was going to be
threatened.
        THE COURT: If that's the case, you are saying that
that excuses his conduct?
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No. No.
```

THE COURT: Because if that is the case, then the individuals that were on trial at Nernberg would never have been convicted, the ones that were just, quote, following orders.

MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No, that's not what I'm saying,

Judge. What I'm saying is you have to then also understand the

context in which these things occurred.

I'm not saying that that is a justification or a legal excuse. But I'm saying it is an explanation and it would go toward mitigation. It's mitigation and extenuation. Why he did what he did may not be a legal defense, but certainly, just as it is what the government is asking that he committed the act, it is certainly appropriate that you consider why he committed the act.

It may not be a legal defense. We don't even know that because we are not a court of law in Guatemala. We are in the United States of America.

So we don't know whether or not at some later point when he does face justice in Guatemala, that it may well be some sort of a defense. I don't know. But I'm just saying it is an explanation. It is not an excuse. And those are two very different things regardless of what the government is saying.

So getting back to my argument, Your Honor, as to the 5K2 departure, I would argue that Mr. Jordan's behavior during

the past 20 years that he has been in this country show that 1 2 his case is typical, not atypical for the following reason: Nothing about Jordan's conduct while he has been 3 4 living here has resulted in the American public being exposed 5 to any greater degree of danger than we would be from any other 6 person violating the same statute by concealing past criminal 7 behavior. During the time that Mr. Jordan has been here, he has 8 9 had one DUI. That is it. He has lived in Palm Beach County. 10 He has worked steadily, sometimes two jobs. 11 THE COURT: But he never should have been here in the 12 first place. 1.3 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I understand that. But when we talk 14 about. 15 THE COURT: And the only reason that he's here is 16 because he lied. 17 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: But we can --18 THE COURT: And he lied about killing a baby. 19 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: But we can look at his conduct while 20 he's here to see whether or not his being here posed any 21 greater danger than anyone else violating the same statute 22 which I think is a comparison that this Court needs to make if 23 it's going to determine a harsher sentence than the guidelines is appropriate. 24 25 So what I'm saying is Mr. Jordan's own conduct while

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
he has been here has shown that him being here, even though he
shouldn't have been here in the first place, has posed no
greater danger than any other defendant violating the same
statute. That's why I bring that to the Court's attention.
         THE COURT: Well, what you are really arguing is that
because -- what you have just argued is that because your
client participated in a mass murder and then came here and has
been a model citizen, that he should get a free pass.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: No, not at all. I'm not saying he
should get a free pass.
        THE COURT: He should get a 0 to 6 month sentence.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: He should get a reasonable sentence.
        THE COURT: And that would be reasonable, 0 to 6
months?
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I would argue that based upon the --
may I have one moment, Your Honor?
         THE COURT: I mean, 0 to 6 months would be a
reasonable sentence for an individual who lied about his past.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: The quideline commission based upon
empirical study, that quideline, 2L2.2, said 0 to 6 is
reasonable. They identify specific factors which they feel
could support a harsher sentence. And those are located at
2L2.2()(1), (2) and (3). And none of those apply to
Mr. Jordan.
        I'm just saying, Judge, that --
```

1 THE COURT: Let me ask you this. MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Yes, Your Honor. 2 3 THE COURT: Are you aware of any other case since the quidelines have come into existence that had these facts? 4 5 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I have three cases that the 6 government cited which I can distinguish, and I'm more than 7 happy to discuss them now or if you would like me to --8 THE COURT: Are you aware of any other case that has these facts? 9 10 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I'm aware of --THE COURT: Where an individual lied on his 11 12 application who had a background of being a mass murderer? 1.3 MS. ROSEN-EVANS: In U.S. versus I-K-O-N-I-C, which is 14 cited in the government's response, Mr. Ikonic in that case, he was a member of the special police brigade in Bosnia during the 15 16 war. He concealed these facts. I think it was on a visa --17 well, actually, here we go. 18 He sought admission to the United States as a refugee 19 claiming fear of returning to Bosnia. He made several false 20 statements in his application and denied under oath any 21 involvement in the war in Bosnia. He was granted admission to 2.2. the United States as a refugee in 2002. 23 In actuality, he served as a member of the special 24 police brigade in Bosnia during the war. He concealed these 25 facts because he knew their disclosure would prevent his

```
admission.
 1
 2
              THE COURT: Well, that was because he was a member of
 3
     this force, this police force.
 4
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Well, the Court found that he was --
 5
              THE COURT: What I would like for you to do is answer
 6
    my question. Are you aware of any other case in the history of
 7
     the guidelines that have these facts?
 8
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: That a person lied about killing a
 9
    baby?
10
              THE COURT: That a person who was a mass murderer lied
11
     on his application about being a mass murderer.
12
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I don't -- I don't know that I
13
     would -- I don't know of any facts -- any cases with those
14
     specific facts. I only know --
15
              THE COURT: So how do you say this case is not
16
     atypical?
17
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Because I think -- because I think
18
     that what the Court must look at is the conduct in this
19
     country, what the crime was, which was the concealment of the
20
     lie as opposed to the conduct that occurred in Guatemala.
21
              THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
22
             MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Thank you, Your Honor.
              The government next seeks a departure under the same
23
24
     subsection 5K2 because the murders at Dos Erres were not
25
     considered relevant conduct and his criminal behavior which did
```

not result in a conviction. I'm going by their pleading, Your Honor.

Again, I would argue to the Court that the events at Dos Erres occurred outside the jurisdiction of the United States, that Mr. Jordan has not yet proceeded to trial, and what the government is asking the Court to do is to impose this ten-year sentence for conduct which Mr. Jordan has not yet been convicted which occurred outside the United States which is not relevant conduct and to obtain the maximum sentence for an unrelated — or for a separate crime which occurred in the United States decades later.

I would argue that the government's position is wrong because it seems to sentence Mr. Jordan here for crimes in Guatemala for which the American people are not the victim and for which this -- for which he is not being convicted.

The government's position is also wrong because it seeks to place the United States in a position superior to that of the Guatemalan people who are, in fact, the only true victims or the only victims of Dos Erres. The massacre occurred in a sovereign nation over which this government has no authority, a nation that has its own laws and system of justice.

By seeking a ten-year sentence on this case, the government is placing its rights or what it believes its rights over the inherent right of the people of Guatemala to obtain

justice in their own court system for what happened.

Keeping Mr. Jordan here for ten years for the crime of lying on a U.S. citizenship application prevents him from returning to Guatemala to stand trial for the very crime which the government is relying upon to request the ten-year sentence.

What the government is really saying, I believe, Your Honor, is that Mr. Jordan's past criminal conduct is not adequately reflected in criminal history category 1. If the Court finds that that is the case, although we are not conceding it, then pursuant to 4A1.(3) departures based on inadequacy of criminal history category, the guidelines provide that the Court is do structure the departure by moving incrementally across the sentencing table to the next highest criminal history category.

And at each criminal history category, the Court must state why the sentencing range within that category is or is not sufficient.

After reaching criminal history category 6 -Mr. Jordan is in criminal history category 1 -- the Court shall
then start going down the sentencing table by offense level
stopping at each successive offense level stating why the new
sentencing range is or is not sufficient to produce a
reasonable sentence.

Again here we would argue 4A1.3 departure is not

1.3

2.2.

appropriate because Mr. Jordan has not been convicted of any crime yet.

The government next seeks a variance pursuant to 3553(a). I would argue to the Court that the law in this circuit is that a within the guideline range sentence is ordinarily considered reasonable. U.S. v. Hunt, 526 Fed. 3d 739, an 11th Circuit case decided in 2008 because when a judge sentences within the range recommended by the sentencing guidelines, he or she makes a decision that is consistent with the commission's judgment at which as to this particular guideline 2L2.2 coupled with the criminal history guideline is based upon empirical evidence.

Additionally, even a 3553(a) variance requires that the policy statements for guideline departures be considered at the time of a decision to vary pursuant to 3553(a) in that the Court must consider the guidelines and policy statements. And as I have stated, those policy statements do not support a departure under 5K.

We would argue that a sentencing range within the guideline range is reasonable, but to address the government's specific variance arguments, again, the government argues that the nature and circumstances of Mr. Jordan's case warrant a variance because the case is out of the heartland for the same reasons they argue for departure.

Again, I would argue that the nature and circumstances

of Mr. Jordan's conduct do not call for a variance. He lied about his past in order that his citizenship application be granted. He did not lie in order to gain citizenship for a reason exclusive of his desire to live here. And he did not seek to obtain citizenship in order to use that status to commit any greater harms against the people of the United States.

The lie was an end in itself and allowed Mr. Jordan to live here until May 4th, 2010 when he voluntarily disclosed his involvement to Agent Longo.

That's another thing, Your Honor, he voluntarily waived counsel, waived Miranda, gave a full statement to Agent Longo on May 4th, 2010.

I would argue, Your Honor, that the nature and circumstances of the — the nature and circumstances of the offense is the act of concealment. Regardless of what was concealed, it is the act of concealment and not the massacre itself that is what he's being sentenced for.

The government next argues that Mr. Jordan's history and characteristics warrant a variance. Again the government focuses on Dos Erres to the exclusion of everything else. As our circuit court instructed us in Irey, what this Court must do is distinguish among defendants who commit a particular offense to see if there is some factor that makes an individual defendant worthy of a harsher sentence.

And I would argue that what the Court must focus on is the conduct that occurred in this country, in the United States. And there is nothing about Jordan's conduct while in this country that takes his history and personal characteristics out of the Myron case. While here, he has committed -- never committed any act which harms the people of the United States. Rather, a review of his history and personal characteristics show that while in this country -- and this Court must consider and should consider the conduct that occurred while in this country, as it relates to the vindication of the rights of our citizens, because that's what our criminal law is for it is to vindicate the rights of the United States of America and not to vindicate the rights of another people in another country -- he has led a law abiding life.

Granted, as the Court pointed out, he should not have been here to begin with, and we concede that. But I think it is also instructional to look at the life he has led while he has been here. He worked steadily, raised three children, became a homeowner, and contributed to the welfare of his village back in Guatemala as attested to by the submissions I have prepared ed and given to the Court.

His family is in the back. One of his sons is in uniform today. He is a sergeant in the Marines and has served our country in Iraq two separate tours of duty.

1.3

Next the government seeks a higher sentence because it claims that only a ten-year sentence can adequately reflect the seriousness of the crime, promote respect for the law, and provide just punishment and sufficient deterrence.

First, as to the serious nature of the crime, the government asserts that because the lie was to conceal his involvement in the massacre, a longer sentence is warranted. But the criminal act in this country, which was the lie, is the lie itself. The act of concealment is not extraordinary. That is the conduct which you must consider in determining whether a variance is appropriate and to what extent you would vary if you chose to.

His act of concealment is not any more serious than any other person who seeks to hide some past misconduct which if known would exclude them from becoming a citizen. The end result of Mr. Jordan's lie and any other person's lie about past misconduct serious enough to exclude them obtaining citizenship is the same. Someone obtains citizenship who would otherwise be excluded.

Mr. Jordan's conduct is, therefore, not more serious thus warranting a longer sentence than any other person committing the same crime.

The government next argues that a longer sentence is necessary to promote respect for the law and provide deference. Specifically as it relates to --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
THE COURT: Are you reading from your response?
mean, because that's in the record.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I understand, Judge. I'm
summarizing it. I just want to make sure --
         THE COURT:
                    I'm going to need for you to begin to wrap
up.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
         I would argue, Your Honor, that there is no evidence
in the record which indicates a longer sentence is needed to
specifically deter Mr. Jordan from any future criminal conduct.
I would also argue that a longer sentence is not necessary to
promote general deterrence in that the fact that the government
is bringing this prosecution after so many years, stripping
Mr. Jordan of his citizenship, and returning him to Guatemala
to face criminal prosecution sends a strong message to the
community or to the world that the United States will not allow
itself to be a haven for law violaters of other countries.
        And in conclusion, Your Honor, I would like to briefly
discuss the three cases that the government cited in its
response. The first one, I started to --
        THE COURT: You have two minutes.
        MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Okay. Your Honor, the cases that
the government cited in those cases, the Court found that a
harsher sentence was appropriate because the defendant claimed
to be a refugee, someone who was seeking shelter from
```

```
prosecution, when, in fact, they, in fact, they were
 1
 2
    persecutors.
 3
              The Court found that a lie within a lie was an
 4
     aggravating factor thus supporting the harsher sentence.
 5
     harshest sentence given was 63 months in the Bostic case where
 6
     the Court on 3553 variance used a guided departure structure to
 7
     structure the extent of the variance, and it was not an
 8
     unguided departure which is what the government is asking for.
 9
              Your Honor, I ask you to give Mr. Jordan a sentence
10
     within the guidelines. Thank you.
11
              THE COURT: Ms. Evans, are you available tomorrow?
12
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Judge, I have a --
1.3
              THE COURT: You have a matter?
14
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Yes, I have a sentencing -- well,
15
     it's not really a sentencing. I have a hearing before Judge
16
    Middlebrooks at 10:00 in West Palm Beach.
17
              THE COURT: And then you are free?
18
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: I am free the rest of the day, Your
19
    Honor.
20
              THE COURT: What about the government tomorrow, what
21
     do your schedules look like?
22
              MS. VILLAFANA: Your Honor, I can be available any
23
     time tomorrow.
24
              MS. DAVIDSON: Your Honor, I can too.
25
              THE COURT: All right. Because of the hour and I want
```

```
to take a look at a couple of things, we'll go ahead and
 2
     recess. And I would like everyone to return here at -- let me
 3
     ask you to return here at 11:45.
 4
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: Your Honor, I don't believe that
 5
     that's enough time. I believe --
 6
              THE COURT: For you to get here?
 7
              MS. ROSEN-EVANS: The matter before Judge Middlebrooks
     should take approximately an hour. It starts at 10:00.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Then let me ask you to return
10
    here at 1:15. 1:15 tomorrow, and I'll give you my ruling, and
     then we'll finish up with sentencing.
11
              Marshal, this is not on your calendar, so you are
12
13
     going to have to have Mr. Jordan here tomorrow.
14
              THE MARSHAL: We'll take care of it, Judge.
              THE COURT: All right. Court is in recess until 1:15
15
16
     tomorrow. Thank you.
17
              (Thereupon, the hearing was adjourned at 6:39 p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

 $C \ E \ R \ T \ I \ F \ I \ C \ A \ T \ E$ I hereby certify that the foregoing is an accurate transcription of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 10/8/10 s/ Tammy Nestor Tammy Nestor, RPR Official Federal Court Reporter 299 E. Broward Boulevard, Suite 203 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 954-769-5496